Docket No.: JAMES117.001APC

Page 1 of 2 T-FW



Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

AMENDMENT / RESPONSE TRANSMITTAL

pricant

Rex Allan Mason

App. No

10/576,705

Filed

: April 20, 2006

For

ANTI-FOAMING DEVICE

Examiner

Unassigned

Art Unit

Unknown

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

November 28, 2007

(Date)

Thomas R. Arno, Reg. No. 40,490

Mail Stop Amendment

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Transmitted herewith for filing in the above-identified application are the following enclosures:

- (X) Renewed Petition Under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.47(b)
- (X) Copy of Declaration and Power of Attorney.
- (X) Statutory Declaration.

The fee has been calculated as shown below:

The present application qualifies for Small Entity Status under 37 CFR 1.27.

	FI	EE CALCULATION		
FEE TYPE		FEE CODE	CALCULATION	TOTAL
1 Month Extension	1.17(a)(1)	2251 (\$60)		\$65
			TOTAL FEE DUE	\$65

11/30/2007 HDESTA1 00000059 10576705

01 FC:2251 02 FC:9998

60.00 OP -5.00 OP

11/30/2007 HDESTAL -00000039 105/6705 02 FC:9998 -5:00 EP Repln. Ref: 12/03/2007 HDESTAL 0013104700 DAH:111410 Name/Number:10576705 FC: 9204 \$5.00 CR Docket No.: JAMES117.001APC November 28, 2007 App. No.: 10/576,705 Page 2 of 2

Please Direct All Correspondence to Customer Number 20995

(X) A check in the amount of \$65 to cover the Total Fee Due is enclosed. Extension of time is requested by payment of any extension fee.

(X) Return prepaid postcard.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Thomas R. Arno Registration No. 40,490 Attorney of Record Customer No. 20,995

(619) 235-8550

4586129 112807



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Rex Allan Mason

Appl. No. : 10/576,705

Filed : April 20, 2006

For : ANTI-FOAMING DEVICE

Examiner : Unassigned

Group Art Unit : Unknown

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on

November 28, 2007

Thomas R. Arno, Reg. No. 40,490

RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. SECTION 1.47(b)

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the Decision on Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b) dated August 31, 2007, in which Examiner concluded that Petitioner's previous submission satisfied items 1, 3, and 4 and did not satisfy items 2, 5 and 6, please find attached the following documents:

- 1. A copy of the Declaration and Power of Attorney executed by the inventor. (Exhibit 1)
- 2. A Statutory Declaration stating that a court of competent jurisdiction in New Zealand would, by the weight of authority, recognize Petitioner as the owner of the inventions. (Exhibit 2)

Further attempts to obtain the required documentation required by the Decision on Petition of August 31, 2007 resulted in the execution of the Declaration and Power of Attorney on October 1, 2007. As noted above, a copy of the executed declaration is attached.

Even though an executed declaration has now been obtained, a memorandum setting forth the proprietary interest in the inventions of the 37 CFR 1.47(b) applicant is attached.

103 E.

:

10/576,705

Appl. No. Filed

.

April 20, 2006

Granting of the present petition is necessary to preserve the rights of the 37 CFP 1.47(b) applicant.

Please charge any additional fees, including any fees for additional extension of time, or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 11-1410.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 11 28 07

 $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{V}}$

Thomas R. Arno

Registration No. 40,490

Attorney of Record

Customer No. 20,995

(619) 235-8550

4500249 110507

EXHIBIT 1



DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY - USA PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named in My residence, post of	office address and citizens	thip are as stated below ne	xt to my name;	
clieve I am the original, fught on the invention entitle	first and sole inventor of		is claimed and fo	or which a patent is tion of which:
filed on O	bed and claimed in PCT I october 15, 2004 and as am Isr PCT Article 34 on	loudoù nitaer pot Articie	19 011	/000259 (if any)
cluding the claims, as ame	t I have reviewed and unded by any amendment	teletted to appayet		
l acknowledge the ecordance with Title 37, C	duty to disclose informa ode of Pederal Regulation	tion which is material to t ns, § 1.56;	the patentability of	of this application i
I hereby claim I	oroign priority benefits lesign or inventor's certif	icate or any PCT interna-	tional application	n(s) listed below an
pplication(s) for patent, delayer also identified below international application(s) same subject matter having prior FOREIGN APPLICATION	w any foreign application designating at least one a filing date before that c	country other than the U	Inited States of	America filed for th
nave also identified below nternational application(s) same subject matter having	w any foreign application designating at least one a filing date before that c	country other than the U	Inited States of Aich priority is clai	America filed for the med: CLAIMED U.S.C. § 119
nave also identified below nternational application(s) same subject matter having PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION OF THE PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION OF THE PRIOR TH	w any foreign application designating at least one a filing date before that control (S) APPLICATION	country other than the L of the application(s) of whi	Inited States of Alch priority is claim PRIORITY UNDER 35	America filed for the med: CLAIMED U.S.C. § 119
nave also identified below nternational application(s) same subject matter having PRIOR FOREIGN APPLIC COUNTRY (OR INDICATE IF PCT)	w any foreign application designating at least one a filing date before that continued to the continued to t	country other than the L of the application(s) of whi DATE OF FILING (day, month, year)	Inited States of A ich priority is clai PRIORITY UNDER 35	America filed for the med: CLAIMED U.S.C. § 119
nave also identified below nternational application(s) same subject matter having PRIOR FOREIGN APPLIC COUNTRY (OR INDICATE IF PCT) New Zealand	APPLICATION NUMBER 529066 the benefit under Title 33 as the subject matter of a in the manner provided of disclose to the U.S. Pr	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year) October 21, 2003 5, United States Code, § bach of the claims of this by the first paragraph of the and Trademark Off	PRIORITY UNDER 35 YES 120 of any Unite application is no f Title 35, Unite toe all informations of the state of the stat	America filed for the med: CLAIMED U.S.C. § 119 NO O Ad States application to disclosed in the period of the country to the country to the period became available.
International application(s) same subject matter having prior to subject matter having prior to subject matter having prior U.S.A. Application in the prior U.S.A. Application acknowledge the date of the prior U.S.A. Application acknowledge the	designating at least one, a filing date before that continued the continued that continued the benefit under Title 33 as the subject matter of a in the manner provided by disclose to the U.S. Per as defined in Title 37, if the prior application and	DATE OF FILING (day, month, year) October 21, 2003 G, United States Code, § bach of the claims of this by the first paragraph of atent and Trademark Off Code of Federal Regulation and the national or PCT interest.	PRIORITY UNDER 35 YES 120 of any Unite application is no f Title 35, Unite ations, § 1.55, we national filing data	America filed for the med: CLAIMED U.S.C. § 119 NO O NO O d States application to disclosed in the period of the counce availate of this application to the period of this application.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made in information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful, false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.
Bull name of sole or first inventor: Rex Allan Mason
Pull name of sole or first inventor: Rex Allan Mason Inventor's signature Day Day Ol Month 10 Year 2007
Inventor's signature
Residence (city and country): Hamilton, New Zealand
Citizenship: New Zealand
Post Office Address: 3-Loursing Place, Hamilton 2004, New Zonland P.C. Box 34-843, BIRKENSHEAD 1830,

Send Correspondence To: KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP Customer No. 20,995

3278885 010607

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE MATTER OF United
States of America Patent
Application Nos. 10/576,705 and
10/575,960

STATUTORY DECLARATION

I, CERI PETER KESTON WELLS of Hamilton, Barrister and Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand and Registered Patent Attorney, solemnly and sincerely declare that:

1,0 Introduction

1.1 Eliminator Holdings Limited ("EHL") is the Applicant in the following patent applications:

US Application No. 10/576,705

Anti-Foaming Device filed 20 April 2006, claiming a priority date of 21 October 2003 based on New Zealand Patent No. 529066; and

US Application No. 10/575,960

An Improved Fluid Treatment System filed 12 April 2006, claiming a priority date of 13 October 2003 based on New Zealand Patent No. 528893.

("the US Patent Applications")

1.2 Rex Allan Mason ("the Inventor") is the inventor of the inventions generally described in the US Patent Applications ("the Inventions").

Th

- 1.3 EHL has provided the United States Patent and Trade Mark Office ("USPTO") with details of an Intellectual Property Agreement dated 16 February 2004 ("the IP Agreement") between EHL and the Inventor, from which EHL asserts that it has the required proprietary interest in the Inventions for EHL to be the applicant in respect of the US Patent Applications.
- 1.4 The IP Agreement does not specifically refer to the underlying priority patent applications, despite the fact that the priority patent applications was filed prior to the IP Agreement being signed. USPTO has therefore required that EHL demonstrate that it has a valid proprietary interest in the US Patent Applications and the Inventions.
- 1.5 Based on the facts of this matter, a court of competent jurisdiction in New Zealand would, by the weight of authority, recognise EHL as the owner of the Inventions.

2.0 Background

- 2.1 EHL was previously called "Vergence Holdings Limited" ("VHL").
- The patent applications which EHL relies on for the priority dates relating to the US Patent Applications were as follows:

New Zealand Patent Application No. 529066 Anti-Foaming Device, filed 21 October 2003; and

New Zealand Patent Application No. 528893
An Improved Fluid Treatment System, filed 13 October 2003.

("the New Zealand Patent Applications")

- 2.3 The Inventor was fully aware that the New Zealand Patent Applications would be filed in the name of VHL, and the Inventor was actively involved in the preparation of patent specifications for the New Zealand Patent Applications.
- 2.4 At no time did the Inventor oppose the New Zealand Patent Applications or challenge EHL's right to own the New Zealand Patent Applications, the Inventions, or the intellectual property therein.



2.5 The New Zealand Patent Applications have now been granted and have issued in the name of EHL.

3.0 The Assignment Agreement

- 3.1 On 16 February 2004 (i.e. after the New Zealand Patent Applications were filed), VHL and the Inventor entered into the IP Agreement. A copy of the IP Agreement is attached to this Declaration and marked with the letter "A".
- 3.2 Pursuant to clause 1.0 of the IP Agreement, the parties agreed:

"Rex shall sell and Vergence shall purchase all intellectual property rights in the Eliminator, (including but not limited to prototypes, drawings, plant, and concepts) and related technology existing at the date of signing this agreement."

[In the Agreement, "Rex" refers to the Inventor, and "Eliminator" refers to the Invention.]

3.3 Pursuant to clause 2.0 of the IP Agreement, the parties agreed that:

"The purchase price for the intellectual property rights in the technology described above shall be \$1.00 payable upon the signing of this agreement. In addition, Vergence will grant to Rex the option to purchase 9100 shares at a price yet to be determined by the Vergence Board of Directors. The Board will determine the share price within 12 months of signing of this agreement and Rex will have the option to purchase the shares at this price for a period of two years, from the signing of this agreement. At the end of the two year period this option if not taken up by Rex will expire."

3.4 The sum of \$1.00 was paid to the Inventor and receipt was acknowledged by the Inventor in writing. A copy of that acknowledgement is attached and marked with the letter "B".



- 3.5 The share price referred to in clause 2.0 of the IP Agreement was fixed at \$1.00 per share on 16 February 2004. A copy of that resolution is attached and marked with the letter "C".
- 3.6 The Inventor subsequently agreed to purchase 9,100 shares in EHL's parent company, Vergence Limited ("VL"), in full satisfaction of the option to purchase set out in the IP Agreement. A copy of that agreement, dated 24 September 2004, is attached and marked with the letter "D".
- 3.7 The Inventor signed a declaration stating:

"I, Rex Allan Mason confirm that that I have disposed of my interest in the Intellectual Property in the Eliminator to Eliminator Holdings

Limited (previously known as Vergence Holdings Limited) and confirm that I have no further personal rights to the Eliminator Intellectual Property".

A copy of that declaration is attached and marked with the letter "E".

4.0 The Refusal to Sign

- 4.1 Since early 2007 the Inventor has failed or refused to co-operate with EHL in relation to the US Patent Applications.
- There is a dispute between a trust (of which the Inventor is a trustee) and VL.

 The Inventor has refused to cooperate with EHL in relation to the US Patent

 Applications and it is apparent this lack of cooperation is intended as a means of exercising leverage to settle the dispute between that trust and VL.

5.0 The Issue in Relation to the Law in New Zealand

- 5.1 The court of competent jurisdiction in New Zealand is the High Court of New Zealand.
- 5.2 Based on the weight of authority, the High Court of New Zealand would find that EHL had the required proprietary interest in the US Patent Applications.

Th

- 5.3 There is no issue that all the essential elements of a contract existed between the parties. The only issue is whether the parties intended the term "intellectual property in the Eliminator" in clause 1.0 of the IP Agreement to include the subject matter of the New Zealand Patent Applications. The answer to that question is "yes", for the reasons set out below.
- When interpreting written contracts, courts in New Zealand will give a term its natural and ordinary meaning, having regard to the facts at the time of contracting: *Investors Compensation Scheme Ltd v West Bromich Building Society* [1998] 1 All ER 98 at 114 (HL); *Boat Park v Hutchinson* [1999] 2 NZLR 74 at 81-82 (CA).
- 5.5 In this case:
 - 5.5.1 The natural meaning of the term "intellectual property" would include patent applications.
 - 5.5.2 The "Eliminator" is the name which the parties used at the time of the IP Agreement, and continue to use, to describe the overall technology to which the Inventions and the New Zealand Patent Applications relate.
 - 5.5.3 The New Zealand Patent Applications had already been filed at the time the IP Agreement was signed, with the full knowledge and cooperation of both parties.
- 5.6 Therefore, both parties would have understood the term "intellectual property in the Eliminator" to include the Inventions, the New Zealand Patent Applications, the right to claim priority from the New Zealand Patent Applications, and any patent application filed any where in the world which claimed priority from the New Zealand Patent Applications.
- 5.7 Consequently, the High Court of New Zealand would, on the weight of authority, recognise EHL as the owner of the Inventions.

Z. W

AND I MAKE this solemn declaration conscientiously believing the same to be true and by virtue of the Oaths and Declarations Act 1957.

Declared at the mitem. Hew Zealand
This 2 gr day of Debber 2007

(Signature) CPK WELL

Before me:

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

T. E. WALDEN
BARRISTER AND SOLICITOR OF THE
HIGH COURT OF NEW ZEALAND
HAMILTON

EXHIBIT NOTE

This is the exhibit marked "A"	referred	to in the	Statutory Declaratio	n of CERI PETER
KESTON WELLS made this	294	day of	Dabbas	2007
before me:		,		

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

BETWEEN

VERGENCE HOLDINGS LIMITED

("Vergence")

AND

REX MASON

("Rex")

AGREEMENT



Cnr Bryce & Anglesea Streets HAMILTON

Telephone: (07) 839 0495 Facsimile: (07) 839 1085

D0308012_JNW.r1:lab

2004 2003

Mise LOT.

PARTIES

- VERGENCE HOLDINGS LIMITED at Hamilton ("Vergence")
- REX MASON of Hamilton, Manager ("Rex")

BACKGROUND

- A. Vergence holds 100,000 shares in Vergence Technology Limited ("Company").
- B. Vergence has agreed to purchase intellectual property rights from Rex and give Rex the option to purchase shares in Vergence Technology Ltd, (or the company incorporated to commercialise Eliminator), in accordance with the terms of this agreement.

THIS AGREEMENT RECORDS that the parties agree as follows:

1.0 AGREEMENT TO SELL

Rex shall sell and Vergence shall purchase all intellectual property rights in the Eliminator, (including but not limited to prototypes, drawings, plant and concepts) and related technology existing at the date of signing of this agreement.

2.0 PURCHASE PRICE

The purchase price for the intellectual property rights in the technology described above shall be \$1.00 payable upon the signing of this agreement. In addition, Vergence will grant to Rex the option to purchase 9100 shares at a price yet to be determined by the Vergence Board of Directors. The Board will determine the share price within 12 months of signing of this agreement, and Rex will have the option to purchase the shares at this price for a period of two years, from the signing of this agreement. At the end of the two year period this option if not taken up by Rex will expire.

3.0 SETTLEMENT

- 3.1 The settlement date shall be the date that Rex exercises the option to purchase shares.
- 3.2 Upon settlement:
 - (a) Vergence will sign and deliver to Rex a share transfer in favour of Rex or his nominee for all the shares;

Jun pm

(b) The shares shall pass to Rex free of encumbrances.

4.0 SHAREHOLDER'S AGREEMENT

- 4.1 Rex shall upon settlement, enter into and execute a shareholder's agreement in the form now attached.
- 4.2 Rex agrees to provide a walver of the right to pre-emption on the sale of shares by a shareholder with regard to the sale of shares to Mark Loeffen from Vergence under the terms of the agreement for the sale of shares in the Company between Mark Loeffen and Vergence.

5.0 OPTION TO PURCHASE FURTHER SHARES

- 5.1 If, at any time before the expiration of a period of 2 years (or such longer period as may be agreed by the parties) from the date of this agreement, the Company sells 100 Eliminator units, then Vergence shall offer Rex an additional 9080 shares in Vergence Technology Ltd. (or the company incorporated to commercialise Eliminator).
- 5.2 The additional 9080 share option is conditional upon the first option, (2.0), being exercised,
- 5.3 'Sale' includes sales by an associated company, an agent of Vergence or a ficensee.
- 5.4 The purchase price of the additional 9080 shares will be determined by the Vergence Board of Directors at the time of sale of the 100th Eliminator.
- 5.5 Rex acknowledges that he has had the opportunity to obtain independent legal and financial advice with regard to the terms of this agreement before signing.

EXECUTED as an Agreement

SIGNED by VERGENCE HOLDINGS LIMITED

Miloca

SIGNED by REX MASON

EXHIBIT NOTE

This is the exhibit marked "B"	referred	to in the	Statutory Declara	ation of CERI PETE	ΞR
KESTON WELLS made this	294	day of	October	2007	1
before me:					•

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

Rex Allan Mason received from
Vergence Holdings Ltd the sum of
one dollar only (*11)
on 16 th February 2004

MAMMax...

EXHIBIT NOTE

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

On the 16th day of February 2004, with reference to the agreement between Rex Atlan Mason and Vergence Haldings Ltd (Vergence), the Vergence Board of Directors agree that the share purchase pire as started in clause 2.0 of the agreement started in clause 2.0 of the agreement for shares shall be \$11 for the parel of 9100 shares, and with regard parel of 9100 shares, and with regard to clause 5.4, shall be \$1 for the parel of 9080 shares.

Signed on behalf of the vergence board of Directors by

Pameia Suranna Roa

Russetl Douglas Fergussor.

EXHIBIT NOTE

This is the exhibit marked "D"	referre	d to in the S	Statutory Declaration	on of CERI PET	ΞΙ
KESTON WELLS made this	29~	day of	كادلمول	2007	
before me:	1				
	-				
A Solicito	or of the	High Court	t of New Zealand		:

I, REX ALLAN MASON as trustee of the Maskay Trust ("Trust") agree to take a 9.1% shareholding in Vergence Limited, with immediate effect, in satisfaction of the Trust's entitlement to purchase 9,100 shares pursuant to an agreement dated 16 February 2004 ("Agreement"). I further agree that should 100 Eliminator units be sold, within two years of the date of the Agreement, the Trust will be entitled to choose to take up an additional 9.08% shareholding in either Vergence Limited or Vergence Technology Limited.

We, PHILIP WILLIAM MANSON, LESLIE JAMES ROA, PAMELA SUZANNA ROA and RUSSELL DOUGLAS FERGUSSON agree to REX ALLAN MASON exercising his option to take up shares in Vergence Limited, and confirm the Maskay Trust's right to take up 9.08% of the shares in either Vergence Limited or Vergence Technology Limited upon the sale of 100 Eliminator units, on the terms set out above.

DATED this 744

スA収 day of

SEPTEMBER

2004

SIGNED by:

Rex Allan Mason

Philip William Manson

Leslie James Roa

Pamela Suzanna Roa

Russell Douglas/Fergusson

O0409024_CTH,r1:cth 159734-12

EXHIBIT NOTE

This is the exhibit marked "E"	referred	to in the	Statutory Declaration	n of CERI PET	ER
KESTON WELLS made this	291	day of	Ochber	2007	
before me:					

A Solicitor of the High Court of New Zealand

To: G D Barry Family Trust and M J Barry Family Trust

I, REX ALLAN MASON confirm that I have disposed of my interest in the Intellectual Property for the Eliminator to Eliminator Holdings Limited (Previously known as Vergence Holdings Limited) and confirm that I have no further personal rights to the Eliminator Intellectual Property.

DATED this

23 nd day of Explandor

2004

SIGNED by:

Rex Allan Mason